

Torus-valued moment maps

IORDAN GANEV

OCTOBER 2014

In this document we state the definition of a torus-valued moment map, prove basic properties of these maps, and give an example.

1 Review of ordinary moment maps

Let G be an algebraic group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Suppose G acts on a smooth symplectic variety (X, ω) preserving the symplectic form.

Definition 1.1. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, define a vector field v_ξ on X by $(v_\xi)_x = d(a_x)_e(\xi)$ where $a_x : G \rightarrow X$ is the map taking g to gx . We say that v_ξ is the vector field generated by the infinitesimal action of ξ .

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote a choice of an invariant positive definite inner product on \mathfrak{g} which we use to identify $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Definition 1.2. A moment map for the action of G on X is a smooth map $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ that satisfies

$$\omega(v_\xi, -) = \langle d\phi, \xi \rangle$$

for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The equation can be written locally as $\omega_x((v_\xi)_x, v) = \langle (d\phi)_x(v), \xi \rangle$, for $x \in X$ and $v \in T_x X$, where we identify the tangent space $T_x \mathfrak{g}$ with \mathfrak{g} itself.

2 Definition of torus-valued moment maps

Let $L_{g^{-1}} : G \rightarrow G$ denote the left translation action of g^{-1} taking h to $g^{-1}h$.

Definition 2.1. The left-invariant Maurer-Cartan form $\theta \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined as

$$\theta_g = d(L_{g^{-1}})_g : T_g G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} = T_e G.$$

The notion of a group-valued moment map $X \rightarrow G$ is introduced in [AMM]. Here we recall the definition in the case that $G = T$ is a complex algebraic torus, and X is a complex manifold. Throughout, we consider the adjoint (equivalently, trivial) action of T on itself.

Definition 2.2. A torus-valued moment map is a smooth, T -equivariant map $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ such that

$$\omega(v_\xi, -) = \langle \mu^* \theta, \xi \rangle.$$

The corresponding homomorphism $\mu^\# : \mathcal{O}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(X)$ is called a *comoment map*.

To be explicit, the condition can be expressed locally as $\omega_x((v_\xi)_x, v) = \langle \theta_{\mu(x)}(d\mu_x(v)), \xi \rangle$, for $x \in X$ and $v \in T_x X$.

Proposition 2.3. *Choose an isomorphism $T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$. A T -equivariant smooth map $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ is a group-valued moment map if and only if*

$$\omega(v_\xi, -) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\mu_i}{\mu_i} \xi_i,$$

where μ_i is the composition of μ with the i th projection.

Proof. The Maurer-Cartan form for T can be written as $[\theta_g(v)]_i = g_i^{-1}v_i$ for $g \in T \simeq (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ and $v \in T_g T \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$, and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be taken to be the usual dot product. \square

Exercise: Check that the moment map condition is compatible with the skew-symmetry of ω .

Remark 2.4. We see from the reformulation above that a map $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ is a torus-valued moment map if and only if a branch of $\log \circ \mu$ is locally an ordinary moment map for the action of T on X .

3 Properties of torus-valued moment maps.

Let $K = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ and $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ be standard tori of rank d and n where $d < n$. Let $\phi : K \hookrightarrow T$ be an inclusion. Thus, ϕ has the form $\phi(k)_i = \prod_{j=1}^d k_j^{m_{ij}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, for some integers $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is a ‘transpose’ map $\phi^\dagger : T \rightarrow K$ defined by $\phi^\dagger(t)_j = \prod_{i=1}^n t_i^{m_{ij}}$. Let $H = T/K$ be the quotient torus. Denote by $\psi : T \rightarrow H$ the quotient map, and $\psi^\dagger : H \rightarrow K$ its transpose.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ is a moment map, then the composition $\phi^\dagger \circ \mu$ is a moment map for the action of K on X induced by ϕ .*

First proof. Let \mathfrak{k} denote the Lie algebra of K and let $\text{Lie}(\phi) : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$, and $\text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger) = \text{Lie}(\phi)^T : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ denote the induced Lie algebra homomorphisms. We use the same notation for the maps on 1-forms:

$$\text{Lie}(\phi) : \Omega^1(-, \mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(-, \mathfrak{t}), \quad \text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger) : \Omega^1(-, \mathfrak{t}) \rightarrow \Omega^1(-, \mathfrak{k}).$$

These maps commute with the pullback of 1-forms along smooth maps. Let θ_T and θ_K be the Maurer-Cartan forms on T and K . Then¹ $(\phi^\dagger)^*\theta_K = \text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger)(\theta_T)$.

For $\zeta \in \mathfrak{k}$, write v_ζ^K for the vector fields on X generated by ζ . In fact, v_ζ^K coincides with the vector field $v_{\text{Lie}(\zeta)}^T$ generated by the image of ζ in \mathfrak{t} . The remainder of the proof is a computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)^*\theta_K, \zeta \rangle &= \langle \mu^* \circ (\phi^\dagger)^*\theta_K, \zeta \rangle = \langle \mu^*(\text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger)(\theta_T)), \zeta \rangle = \langle \text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger)(\mu^*\theta_T), \zeta \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu^*\theta_T, \text{Lie}(\phi)(\zeta) \rangle = \omega(v_{\text{Lie}(\zeta)}^T, -) = \omega(v_\zeta^K, -). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Second proof. Adopt the notation of the previous proof. Observe that $(\phi_*\zeta)_i = \sum_{j=1}^d m_{ij}\zeta_j$. We have:

$$\omega(v_\zeta^K, -) = \omega(v_{\text{Lie}(\phi)(\zeta)}^T, -) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d\mu_i}{\mu_i} (\text{Lie}(\phi)(\zeta))_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{m_{ij}\zeta_j d\mu_i}{\mu_i}.$$

¹In fact, for any group homomorphism $\beta : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$, it is easy to show that $\beta^*\theta_{G_2} = \text{Lie}(\beta)(\theta_{G_1})$.

On the other hand, let $(\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j$ denote the composition of $\phi^\dagger \circ \mu$ with the j th projection, so $(\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i(x)^{m_{ij}}$. Then one computes:

$$d[(\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j] = \sum_{i=1}^n m_{ij} \mu_i^{m_{ij}-1} d\mu_i \prod_{i'=1, i' \neq i}^n \mu_{i'}^{m_{i'j}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{m_{ij} (\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j d\mu_i}{\mu_i}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \frac{d[(\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j]}{(\phi^\dagger \circ \mu)_j} \zeta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{m_{ij} \zeta_j d\mu_i}{\mu_i} = \omega(v_\zeta^K, -).$$

The claim follows. \square

Remark 3.2. In the proposition and its proof, we did not use the hypothesis that ϕ is injective. The same result, with the same proof, applies to an arbitrary homomorphism $\phi : T \rightarrow K$ of tori.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose the action of T on X is trivial. Then $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ is moment map if and only if μ is a constant map.*

Proof. In this case, the vector field v_ξ is the zero for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$. Thus, μ is a moment map if and only if $\mu^* \theta = 0$. This is equivalent to $\mu_i(x)^{-1} (d(\mu_i)_x(v)) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, $v \in T_x X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\mu_i(x)$ is nonzero, the above holds if and only if $d(\mu_i)_x = 0$ for all $x \in X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, i.e. if and only if μ_i is constant for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose $\mu : X \rightarrow T$ is a moment map, and the action of K on X is trivial. Then there is an induced action of H on X and a moment map $\mu_H : X \rightarrow H$ that satisfies $\mu = \mu_H \circ \phi^\dagger \circ L_{t_0}$ for some $t_0 \in T$.*

In other words, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \\ & \nearrow \mu_H & \downarrow \mu \\ H & \xrightarrow{\phi^\dagger} T & \xrightarrow{L_{t_0}} T \end{array}$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of H . As in the first proof of Proposition 3.1, we have Lie algebra homomorphisms $\text{Lie}(\psi) : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ and $\text{Lie}(\psi^\dagger) = \text{Lie}(\psi)^T : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$. The short exact sequence of Lie algebras $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow 0$, with maps $\text{Lie}(\psi^\dagger)$ and $\text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger)$, exponentiates to a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\psi^\dagger} T \xrightarrow{\phi^\dagger} K \longrightarrow 1.$$

Fix $x_0 \in X$ and let $t_0 = \mu(x_0) \in T$. Since the action of K on X is trivial and $\phi^\dagger \circ \mu : X \rightarrow K$ is a moment map, the preceding lemma implies that $\phi^\dagger \circ \mu$ is constant. Thus, $\mu(x) t_0^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(\phi^\dagger) = \text{Im}(\psi^\dagger)$ for any $x \in X$. Using the fact that ϕ^\dagger is injective, define

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H : X &\rightarrow H \\ x &\mapsto (\phi^\dagger)^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu(x)}{t_0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We show that μ_H is a moment map. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$. The vector field $v_{\text{Lie}(\psi)(\xi)}^H$ corresponding to the image of ξ in \mathfrak{h} coincides with the vector field v_ξ^T corresponding to ξ . Since $\text{Lie}(\psi)$ is surjective, the result is a consequence of the following computation, which uses facts stated in the first proof of Proposition 3.1, and the left-invariance of θ_T :

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(v_{\text{Lie}(\psi)(\xi)}^H, -) &= \omega(v_\xi^T, -) = \langle \mu^* \theta_T, \xi \rangle = \langle \mu_H^* \circ (\psi^\dagger)^* \circ L_{t_0}^* \theta_T, \xi \rangle = \langle \mu_H^* \circ (\psi^\dagger)^* \theta_T, \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu_H^*(\text{Lie}(\psi^\dagger)(\theta_H)), \xi \rangle = \langle \text{Lie}(\phi^\dagger)(\mu_H^* \theta_H), \xi \rangle = \langle \mu_H^* \theta_H, \text{Lie}(\phi)(\xi) \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Example

There is an action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^\times)^n$ on the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ by componentwise scaling: $(t \cdot (p, w))_i = (t_i p_i, t_i^{-1} w_i)$. Precomposition by ϕ induces an action of K on $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$. Fix the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}(T^*\mathbb{C}^n) &= \mathbb{C}[x_i, \partial_i] = \mathbb{C}[x_i, \partial_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n], & \mathcal{O}(T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ &= \mathbb{C}[x_i, \partial_i][1 + x_i \partial_i]^{-1}. \\ (T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ &= \{(p, w) \in T^*\mathbb{C}^n : 1 + p_i w_i \neq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Equip $(T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ$ with the symplectic form $\omega = \sum_i \frac{dp_i \wedge dw_i}{1 + p_i w_i}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The following are group-valued moment maps:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_T : (T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ &\rightarrow T & \mu_K : (T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ &\rightarrow K \\ (p, w) &\mapsto 1 + p_i w_i & (p, w) &\mapsto \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + p_i w_i)^{m_{ij}} \right)_j.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $(p, w) \in (T^*\mathbb{C}^n)^\circ$, we write $\{\partial p_i, \partial w_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\{dp_i, dw_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ for the natural bases of $2n$ -dimensional vector spaces $T_{(p,w)} T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ and $T_{(p,w)}^* T^*\mathbb{C}^n$. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{t} = \mathbb{C}^n$ we have $(v_\xi)_{(p,w)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i p_i (\partial p_i) - \xi_i w_i (\partial w_i)$. Therefore, for any $i = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\omega_{(p,w)}((v_\xi)_{(p,w)}, \partial p_i) = \omega_{(p,w)}\left(\sum_{i'=1}^n \xi_{p_{i'}} \partial p_{i'} - \xi_{w_{i'}} \partial w_{i'}, \partial p_i\right) = \frac{dp_i \wedge dw_i}{1 + p_i w_i}(-\xi_{w_i} \partial w_i, \partial p_i) = \frac{\xi w_i}{1 + p_i w_i}.$$

Similarly,

$$\omega_{(p,w)}((v_\xi)_{(p,w)}, \partial w_i) = \frac{\xi p_i}{1 + p_i w_i}.$$

Therefore,

$$\omega_{(p,w)}((v_\xi)_{(p,w)}, -) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\xi_i w_i (dp_i) + \xi_i p_i (dw_i)}{1 + p_i w_i}.$$

On the other hand, $\frac{(d\mu_i)_{(p,w)}}{\mu_i(p,w)} = \frac{w_i dp_i + p_i dw_i}{1 + p_i w_i}$. The claim for $\mu = \mu_T$ now follows, and the claim for μ_K is a consequence of Proposition 3.1. □

References

[AMM] A. Alekseev, A. Malkin, E. Meinrenken. Lie group valued moment maps, *Journal of Differential Geometry* 48 (1998), 445–495.